Previous references.

f4-4. same file
2, 3, SA. 811. do 1909/10
5210. do.

R.7. 165 of 1893-4 (Gen: Fin)

File No. 37

Serial Nos. 1, 12, 13, 15, 22

later references.

Files marein 10 M 24, 4 A 3709;
G.J. 37-09:58 26, 29. B. 9 Jan 10
G.J 37-09 & 31-34 B Sept 12
S.P. 14-17 & 8 19, B Jan 7 18
" 9 18a
4 117-18 9 9-10, 12, 15-6, B June 1919
" 174-19 1-4, B Feb 4 1920
174-19 a 5-8, B April 1920.
GF 75. 26-16
G7 Dif 136/32
177/-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4 1, 8, 12, 13, 15, 19 22

Part B. for December 1909.

Sanctioning an additional loan of Rs. 8,000 to Mr. Venkata Rao, and other members of the third branch of Bakshi Thippiah's family, with the observation that this, with the sum of Rs. 1,000 to their credit and held in deposit, in the District Judges' Court Mysore will suffice for meeting the claims of the Liquidators of the Mysore City Bank and that the loan is subject to the condition that the income of the mortgaged porperty present and prospective including the revenue from toddy should be taken towards the repayment of the aggregate loan with interest.

Petition from Venkata Rao Son of Sannakrishna Rao, dated 27. 8. 09.
Stated that their properties have been sold in the District Court of Mysore and pray for a further loan of Rs 7000/- and pending the grant, request that the District Judge may be asked to stay the sale

1/ To the District Judge, Mysore. No. 1711
Requests him furnish certain information re sale of the properties of the petitioners

8/ From the District Judge, Mysore. No. 19 & 21,
Furnishes the information called for, in the form above.

G. R. S. 58

[1] 1909.-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Gen Dis)

NOTES.

File No. 37

FILE HEADING.

Loan granted to Balkri Nagpiah's family.

From Venkata Rao, Son of Samoo Rao, M. K. Krishnaraja Bahadur Sotheya

No. Dated 7/8/09 Received

Diary No. 644	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

State that their properties have been brought to Sale in the Dt Court of Mysore and pray for a further loan of Rs 9000 and pending the grant request that the Dt proceedings might be asked to stay the sale.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subo. Please see the note from next page

mdh 1 2/9.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ry 7 File No. 37 of 1909

Serial No.	
	1. There are four papers for disposal in this file namely:-
note Disposal 1	(1) An application from Mr M Krishna Iyengar, advocate mysore Suggesting that the Govt do would do well to waive their
37-09	
9. 1-09.	right to possession of the lands now belonging to Samoo Rao's family mortgaged to them and allow it them to be sold in execution of a decree now pending in the sub Judge's Court at mysore.
Paper under Disposal no 2	(2) A petition from messrs Venkataram Ramakrishnaia and others, representatives of Samoo Rao's family, stating that their lands have been mortgaged to Govt are brought to sale by their creditors and the Solicitor, Govt have been winning in their welfare all along, will have been all in vain, if they now allow the lands be slip away from their family and praying therefore that a further loan of Rs 9000 may be kindly granted to them, with which they will manage to effect a compromise with their Creditors and thus save the properties
Paper under Disposal no 3 Vide sls 5816 dt. 7-37-09. Filed Oct 2-09. Also filed paper no 8143 dt. 7-37-09.	(3) A petition from T. Srinivasa Rao of Timelly, also a member of Thippaiah's family, requesting that since his mortgage has been completely discharged, all the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

documents relating to it may be ordered to be returned to him.

Paper under this head No 4

(4) A petition from Srinivasa Row of Chikkanda praying stating that the village of Doddabommenhalli which is now under govt management is being so grossly mismanaged that it will prove ruinous to him if the state of affairs is allowed to continue any more and praying that the management be handed over to him.

vide el. 7 37-09 & 11 & 24 3,5,5A & 11 filed oct 2 09.

2) The first two applications namely that from Mr Advocate Varthuniya and that from Samoo Rows representatives both praying for a further loan being connected ~~with~~ in are considered together.

Advocate Varthuniya states that since the family of Samoo Row is involved past redemption, there is no use govt trying to save it and if govt gave up their lien as unpreferential mortgagees it will facilitate the sale of the mortgaged properties, which be now attached in execution of the decrees held by the Secured and unsecured creditors of the family and the repayment of the govt loan, while under the present condition of things, the recoveries towards the loan are

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

So small that at that rate it would be another 50 or 60 years before the loan was repaid. Messrs Venkata Row, Ramakrishna and others State representatives of Samoo Row State that if govt kindly gave them another loan of Rs 9000/ they would try and effect a compromise with all their creditors and save their estates from passing away from their family. They state that govt have ample security for the moneys advanced by them in the increased revenue of the mortgage villages arising from the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into them.

3) The two points that have to be considered therefore now are i whether the govt may give up their usufructuary right as suggested by Mr advocate Krishnaiyar and ii whether the further loan of Rs 9000/- may be granted

4) As regards govt waiving their right of possession, it has to be considered whether the credits towards the govt loan have been so bad that there is no chance of govt being repaid for a very long time to come at the present rate of recovery and hence if the recoveries have been made they are so, govt can as suggested waive their

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

usufructuary right and ~~have a~~ [illegible] pie and profit in the sale brought about at the instance of the other creditors.

5) Now as regards repayments of the loan every year: making due allowance to the confused condition of the ~~calculations~~ accounts ~~belong~~ pertaining to Nippiati family loans and judging from the figures furnished by the Comptroller, it will be seen as shown in para 14 below that the recoveries have in no way been worse than was anticipated at the time of granting the loan. Far from that being case, the revenues of the mortgaged villages, have on the other hand so much increased after the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into them that if the collection goes on even at the present rate there is the chance of the govt loan being liquidated within in about 15 years, while at the time of granting the loan in 1896 that prospect was as far distant as about 80 years. When further, govt allowed a sum of Rs 75 to be deducted annually out of the income for a ~~nitavam~~ to be performed by the family at Seringapatam the ~~farther~~ distance became greater ~~further~~ still. To mention figures, the ~~gross~~ nett

A

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

Serial No.

back their money. [A reference to the Deputy Comr is, however, recommended by the branch]

Paper under disposal no 1.

7) Under these circumstances the advice of the Advocate of our Co-creditors is better declined.

8) The next point for orders is the grant of the further loan of Rs 9000 prayed for by Venkata Row and other members of the family of the late Samoo Row. The history of the loan is briefly as follows:-

9) Samoo Row and others of the third branch of Nippani family applies for a loan amounting to 12 years of the income of the following properties

Inam village of Timmelli - Revenue - 380/-
(1/3 share)

Do Nasalli — 125 Rs
(1/2 share)

Do Hodagamni — 270 Rs

* Garden under Karanji in the Mysore called Karanji Thota } 80.
1/3 share }

Rs 775/-

10) It was subsequently found that the applicants had underrated their liabilities and therefore 15 times the amount of the annual income was sanctioned, and subsequently another sum of Rs 1157

* As before the mortgage to Govt this had been mortgaged with possession to one Lawder Annappa, the revenue was not available for the discharge of Govt loan.

H

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 37 of 1909

Serial No.

Thus making Rs 12782 in all or about 16½ times the annual income. It is not quite clear whether the long period at the time of Sanctioning the loan any consideration was given to the long length of time it would take for the loan to be liquidated. If it had been it would have been clear found that the loan would not be discharged within any thing less than about 85 years! Even this amount was found to be insufficient to meet all the debts of the family and Samoo Row applies for another loan of ~~another~~ more than about 4000 rupees. As granting this meant the grant of nearly a twenty years' income and as after the deduction of interest there would be nothing left for the discharge of the principal Samoo Row was told that the matter of the further loan would be considered after ascertaining what increase would result in the revenue of the 2 main villages after the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into them.

I

11) Unfortunately for the petitioner and in spite of constant reminders from him

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

Serial No.

Dying ordered 1894.
Result known 1902.

the matter of the introduction of the Survey dragged on slowly and over half a dozen years elapsed before the govt could know even roughly what increase it had resulted in. In the meanwhile the accounts of the three branches of the Nippani family has got into confusion and when after the long delay, an application for a loan from Ramdrug a member of the family went up before

J

the Dewan, he declined to pass any order till the accounts were set right. And the accounts have not been set right to this day

12) The petitioners state that if the govt had given him the 4000 Rs Sanoro Row had asked for, they would not have been in the sad predicament they are in today. In fact, they say, that it is the 4000 rupees which he borrows from the Mahratta Bank to free the identical properties mortgaged to govt from prior encumbrances, that has swelled now to the enormous sum of Rs 13000 for which the properties have now been brought to sale by the Bank.

Paper under disposal no 1

13) Probably this is true, as it is said, that in the Mahratta Bank's mortgage deed, priority is given

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

given to the govt mortgage, though it was executed before that to govt. But the blame cannot be laid at the door of the govt seeing that they behaved extremely liberally towards Samoo Pillai and gave him a loan amounting to almost 17 years income of the mortgaged villages. It was quite possible - as indeed it was the case afterwards - that the survey and settlement would bring about an increase of revenue but under the state of things as they existed then, they had done all they could and could have done nothing more. It has to be admitted with regret, however, that the wheels of some of the govt offices did grind exceedingly slow in the matter of the introduction of the survey but the grant of a further loan having depended upon the uncertain condition of there being an increase this dilatoriness cannot constitute quite a "grievance" to the petitioners (however, it seems it does not matter and the petitioners state that the govt can yet save the situation if the loan of Rs 9000, now asked for is given.

14) Now the question whether the fresh loan

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 37 of 1909

Serial No.

present state of affairs warrant the grant of this fresh loan has to be considered. As has been already pointed out in para 7 above, deducting the interest on the loan of Rs 12782 and the 75 Rs on account of the Brindavan nos annual income of Rs 775 there was barely left about 60 Rs for the annual recoupment of the loan. The repayment towards principal for a period of 12 years till 1908 accordingly should have been Rs 720, while according to the Comptrollers figures it is nearly Rs 3500. Of course this is due to the increase which has resulted from the introduction of the Survey. What the precise increase has been is there is no information in the file but judging from the papers flagged C and D+Q the figure 1425 given mentioned in the petition is probably correct. It will also appear from the Comptrollers statement furnished with his review of loans that the ~~adjustments~~ credits for the last three years have been as follows:-

Paper under Disposal No 1.

Paper under Disp: No 2

Year	Towards Principal	Towards Interest	Total
1905-06	Rs 565-12-5	+ 1452-3-9 =	2017-15-2
1906-07	1245-0-5	+ 610-2-8 =	1855-3-1
1907-08	547-14-8	+ 605-7-7 =	1153-6-3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

15) If these figures are correct, it must be said that the recoveries are very satisfactory and the prospect of the repayment of the entire loan is much nearer than was anticipated at the time of its grant.

16) With regard to the further loan of 9000/ the situation stands thus: There is a balance of the original loan amounting to Rs 10350 and probably the income of the mortgage villages is Rs 1425. If the new loan is sanctioned the principal will be Rs 19500, which will take about 23 years to be discharged.

D

17) The law does not allow the tacking on of the fresh loan to the first loan and the creditors who have attached the properties will oust the possession of Govt as soon as their present loan is repaid (in about 10 or 12 years). Therefore if the new loan is sanctioned at all, it should be only if there is a possibility of buying up all the subsequent mortgages on the Inam villages. The creditors seem to be under the impression that the recoveries towards the Govt loan are very small and that it would be over sixty years before the Govt claim is satisfied and that they would have to wait for many a long

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

day to come for the return of their money. They do not seem to be aware of the increase in the income of the mortgaged villages and herein lies the safety of the Inamdars. The creditors believe that there is little chance of seeing the color of their money in the near future and are sure to jump in with any proposal for composition of their debts. If the Composition is possible govt may it seems advance the further loan of Rs 9000 now prayed for.

18) If govt are favorable to the grant of the loan a report will have to be called for from the Deputy Com[r] regarding the exact income of the mortgaged villages. The petitioners also will have to be sent for to explain clearly how they mean to effect the Compromise

N. B
A letter issued yesterday to the Dt Magistrate enquiring for information regarding the sale of the mortgaged properties in that court. Please see Hy R. 8/8/09.

[The above noti disposes of two papers which are under disposal in this file]

original Grantee- Srinivasa Rao and Venkatesia

19) The third is a petition from T. Srinivasa Rao of Tirnelly and mortgagor of 1/3 share of Tirnelly praying that since the debt due by him has been discharged the documents relating to the loan may be returned to him. It will be seen

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

D

from G.O no 7L 422-30 dy 15th mly 1909 - para 16 that there is still an amount of about Rs564 outstanding against the Inamdars according to the Deputy Com.r of Mysore. The accounts being in confusion it is not possible to say if this is correct. Since what the petitioner wants is the return of the mortgage bond together with other documents of title delivered to govt therewith, there appears to be no particular hardships to the Inamdars by the delay in the settlement of accounts, unless it be that they want to again raise a loan on the mortgaged properties. Perhaps an endorsement may be given to the petitioner saying that the accounts of their family are being finally adjusted and that their request will be complied with shortly.

original grantee Srinivas a Rao Kerkavaia

20) The 4th paper under disposal is a petition from Srinivas a Rao of Chikonda mortgagor of Dodda Bommanhalli village stating that the village is being grossly mismanaged by the ~~govt~~ Taluk authorities and if this state of things should be allowed to continue for any more it will prove the ruin of him

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

and praying that the village might be therefore be handed back to his own management. This matter also has been disposed of in G.O no 76 & 22 of the 15th July, para 16 and Srinivasa Aiyar has been told that the village cannot be given to his possession. The petition may perhaps be forwarded to the Deputy Comr Mysore for report on the allegation of mismanagement.

D

mk 2/9

21) Paper for disposal no 1.

As stated in para 7 supra Mr Krishna Iyengar's suggestion is neither feasible nor desirable. An endorsement to him in reply to his petition is put up for approval.

I agree
GR
29/9/09

22) Paper for disposal no 2.

The petitioners pray for a further loan of Rs 9000 to meet the claim of another creditor who has brought to sale the property mortgaged to Govt. If the security is sufficient and the annual income from the property is adequate to permit of the repayment of the loan with interest, within a

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

a reasonable time, the request of the petitioners is entitled to favourable consideration as the object of Govt is to prevent the property from passing away from the family to which it was given for distinguished services rendered to the State by some of its members.

23) Under the orders of the Dewan some information regarding the alleged sale of the property under the orders of the Court has been called for from the District Judge. The receipt of this ~~of this~~ information will not in itself help the disposal of the request for the grant of further loan. For the consideration of this request definite information on the following points appears to be necessary:-

(1) What is the amount of the original loan still due?

(2) What is the interest if any, over due?

(3) What is the total debt for the discharge of which the property is alleged to have been attached?

(4) Are there any other debts due by

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

As the accounts are stated to be in a Confused Condition, the details of amounts paid and to be paid shown in the Comptl's Statement cannot be taken to be correct pending the investigation of the matter directed in G.O. dt 15.7.09 & hence the Call for accurate information on these points in the letter to the Dy Cr.

25) Answers to the questions put in the Devans order dt 7-8-09 are given below:-

(2) Why was Tirunelli village not taken up also?

Please see para 14 of G.O. dt 15.7.09

(3) How can these (other creditors) proceed against villages now with Govt?

Apparently the properties have been brought to Sale Subject to the lien of Govt.

(4) If necessary asst dy Cr. for needful information.

Draft to Dy Cr. put up.

(5) Why no proper accounts kept & rendered?

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 37 of 1909

Serial No.

28) No 2

Enquiry may be made from Dy Commr as proposed. Petitioner may be informed of the enquiry made & told that fact will consider the question of further loan on receipt of a reply from the Dy Commr. As regards the sale being held in abeyance orders will issue after receipt of a reply to the reference made to the Dist Judge if first think necessary.

29) If the Dy Commr reports that the net income of the villages is really Rs 1425 a year and if a fresh loan of Rs 9000/- (in addition to the existing debt of Rs 10350) can really clear the property of all encumbrances (except first debt) the question may perhaps be favorably considered as a debt of Rs 19350 will be repaid by annual instalments of Rs 1425 at 5% in about 23 or 24 years.

29/8/09

Attended to 30-8-09

[Modify drafts in cases 3 & 4 as suggested above. As regards 2 a reply to the petitioner seems necessary & a draft may be put up on the lines indicated. After completing the papers as above submit to Dewan.

29/8/09

30 Dewan)

30 C. S.

Note
The 5 drafts bear Sl nos 9.10.11.12 & 13 which are arranged as follows
No 1 — Contn. sheet.
1411 filed Octo 9.
6410 Do
249 Do

2742/30.9.09 The 5 drafts put up have been approved by Dewan.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

7. 10. 09.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Q 7 File No. 37 of 1907

Serial No.	
	from the D. Judge, Mysore -
13.	To the Dy Comr Mysore D-
	forwards the petition received from Venkatlao & others of the 3d branch of Bakshi Hippalahi family and calls for a detailed Report on the subject of the petition
31	In letter No 1747 dy 22/9/09 the Dt Judge of Mysore was requested to furnish information on the following points: -
	(1) Has any Sale of the properties (of the incumbent of the Hippalahi family) been held by the Court and if so, what is the amount fetched at the sale
	2. the time granted by the Court for the Confirmation of the Sale
	and a Copy of the Sale proclamation issued by the Court was also requested to be furnished
32	In reply thereto the Dt Judge States:
	" of the nine items of properties mortgaged to the Mysore City Bank a house in Mysore was sold for Rs 1000/- on the 24th ultimo " (August) and is to be confirmed on the 30th November 1909
	" The other 8 items of properties including 3 Jaghir villages have been ordered to be Sold on the 30th November next " and that no proclamation has been issued

paper sent herewith

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

S

Returned by Comm^r-unpressed

33 The Sale notification received with Dr Com^r's letter No 54 dt 24/7/1909 is put up for perusal. This has to be returned (A 81)

(~~note~~ a docket & Subd)

not in file

28/10/09

My It is a point for order if any orders have to issue to hold in abeyance the sale of the properties.

Submitted for orders

Dorne 2-11-9

put up after docket & Subd 29/10

29/10 - 35 We cannot issue any order to hold the sale order in abeyance. The petitioners (Krishnan Nair and others might, on the strength of the contemplated loan fact that Govt are contemplating the grant of a further loan, apply for more time.

mk 27/10

36 The District Judge's reply is Subd to Dewan.

mk 2-11-9

31/72 4-11-09

37 An advance copy of a letter from the Depty Collr Tellicherry No. 197 of 2-11-09, is put up. The letter should be dealt with and the file submitted very early. Vetto 4 Nov 9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt
No 297 dt 22nd October 1909 —
Submits a report re the additional loan applied for by the members of the 3rd Branch of Thippaiah's family

38 The balance of loan outstanding against this branch of the family is Rs. 9816-4-3 As per decree In favor the reduction of this balance the Inamdars claim credit to Rs. 701-8-1 as the Sandal revenue due to them (this is not taken into calculation by the Dy Comr Mysore for reasons assigned in para 3.) and Rs. 900 being the arrears of Inddy revenue payable to this Branch of the family.

39 It is reported that there is a deposit of Rs 1000/- in the Dt Court payable to the members of the Branch

40 The Mysore City Bank have obtained a decree for Rs 14100- and have agreed towards the total discharge of debts due to them by the payment Rs 9000 before the 25 November 1909.

41 The estimated net revenue from the villages is Rs 1200/- vide statement furnished by the Dy comr and the Dy Comr recommends that a further loan of Rs 8000/- "which is within this limit (fifteen times the net revenue) may be sanctioned"

~~Accordingly please make necessary order~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 37 of 1909

25

V

Serial No. 42 The Deputy Commissioner furnishes a Categorical reply to the queries enumerated in this Office Memo No. 1988 dy 9th Oct 1909.

Submitted for orders

N 5/11/09

43 The Deputy Comm's reply is somewhat cautious with regard to a very important point, we wanted information about. We asked him to let us know what other debts there were against the family for wh the properties are liable to be attached and he states that "there is said to be only one debtor", the assignee of the Havanje garden mortgage but this fact we know already and it is would very necessary to know also what other debts the family have, more especially after the account of the financial state given by advocates Narana Ayengar and Krishna Iyengar of Mysore. It is at the same time very difficult to find out what unsecured debts there may be against the family and the most careful enquiry is therefore bound to be imperfect.

y

V para 4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Since the security seems to be ample, it seems the loan may safely be granted provided the mortgagee of the Idamanji garden is the only person whom we have to contend against. Whatever is intended to be done must be done soon, before any unsecured creditors, should there be any, get wind of this affair and circumvent us by at once attaching the properties.

Asst Secy)

8.11.9

4 Leaving aside the question of the unenfranchised Inam garden lands, the facts appear to be as follows:

Balance of existing loans Rs 9800,
Fresh loan required Rs 8000
Total — Rs 17,800

Safe estimate of net revenue from the villages as now reported by the Dy Comnr Rs 1200 per annum.

5 A loan of Rs 18,000 in round figures

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

to be discharged by annual instalments of Rs 1200/- with interest at 5% will take 29 years to be fully repaid.

46 If in the opinion of Govt the family has strong claims to the assistance of Govt a further loan of Rs 8000/- or any less sum which will meet the requirements of the case may be sanctioned by Govt. The period of 29 years is rather too long and Govt should, explicitly reserve the right of appropriating any additional income such as ~~Land~~ revenue etc towards the repayt of the loan so that the period of repayt may be somewhat shortened

(Sd) [illegible]
8/11/09

(Sd) Dewan
3270
9.11.09

28

28

Submitted to His Highness.

These papers relate to an application from Venkata Rao and other members of the third branch of the late Kille Cutcherry Bakshi Thippiah's family, praying for the grant of a further loan of Rs.9,000, under the rules regarding the grant of loans for the relief of encumbered Inamdars.

2. The facts of the case are briefly as follows:-

For distinguished services rendered to the State a Jahgir consisting of 4 whole villages and certain inam lands was originally granted to the late Bakshi Thippiah. As these properties were heavily encumbered with debts and were in danger of passing out of the family, the Government in their Proceedings Camp No.317-21 dated 6th June 1893, sanctioned to the family a loan equal to 12 times the annual net revenue of the properties, repayable with interest at 5 per cent per annum to enable them to redeem the properties from existing encumbrances, and the Deputy Commissioner was authorized to advance up to a limit of

Rs.30,000 to the three branches of the family, the annual net income being estimated at about Rs.2,500. It was however found later on that this amount was insufficient to meet all the existing liabilities and the Government in their Proceedings No.18025-27/R.F.165-93 dated 23rd April 1894, sanctioned as a special case a loan equal to 15 times the net income of the properties instead of 12 times as originally sanctioned, to Sannu Rao and others of the second and third branches of the family. As even this was not sufficient to discharge all the liabilities of the third branch of the family, Sannu Rao petitioned the Government in July 1896, praying that a further loan might be sanctioned after the introduction of Survey Settlement into his inam villages, and the Government promised to consider the prayer after the Survey Settlement which was then in progress was introduced (Vide Endorsements Nos.1846/R.F.165-93 dated 18th August 1896 and 5893/R.F.165-93 dated 25th December 1896). No further loan was however sanctioned.

3. The present petitioners (who are the representatives of Sannu Rao) state that as the Government did not at the time sanction the further loan

asked for, the amount had to be borrowed elsewhere in order to redeem the properties to be mortgaged to Government from prior encumbrances and that this with interest has at present come up to the large sum of over Rs.13,000, for the discharge of which the entire properties mortgaged to Government have been brought to sale. The petitioners therefore pray that a further loan of Rs.9,000 may be granted to them for discharging this liability. They add that the Survey Settlement has increased the annual revenue of the villages by Rs.650 and that the loan now asked for with the loan already obtained from Government will be within 15 times the total income of the properties.

Original income	- -	Rs. 775
Additional revenue due to Survey Settlement	- "	650
		1,425
1,425 × 15 =		Rs.21,375
Loan originally taken		12,782
	+	9,000
		Rs.21,782.

4. The officiating Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, to whom the petition was forwarded for report, states that the liquidators of the Mysore City Bank, who have obtained a decree for Rs.14,000 against all the properties of the family, have expressed their willingness to receive Rs.9,000 in full discharge of their claims, provided the amount is paid within the

25th instant. As there is a deposit of Rs.1,000 in the District Court at the credit of the petitioners, the Deputy Commissioner recommends that the additional sum of Rs.8,000 required to pay the Bank may be advanced from Government. This amount, together with the outstanding balance of the loan already taken, viz. Rs.9,815, is within the limit of 15 times the net revenue, which the Deputy Commissioner says, can be safely estimated at Rs.1,200.

5. The Financial Secretary has noted that, if in the opinion of Government the family has strong claims to the assistance of Government, a further loan of Rs.8,000 or any less sum which will meet the requirements of the case may be sanctioned by Government, and says that a loan of Rs.18,000 (in round figures) to be discharged by annual instalments of Rs.1,200 with interest at 5 per cent will take 29 years to be fully repaid. He adds that the period of 29 years is rather too long and that Government should explicitly reserve the right of applying all additional income such as toddy revenue &c., towards the re-payment of the loan, so that the period of re-payment may be somewhat shortened.

52 6. I am of opinion that in the special circumstances of this case, a further loan of Rs.8,000 may be sanctioned. As the creditors have to be paid before the 25th instant, early orders are solicited.

53 7. All additional items of revenue, such as that from toddy, will of course have to be credited to Government and this will increase the amount of the annual instalment and reduce the period for the liquidation of the loan. The petitioners also claim that the outstanding balance of the loan already taken by them, which is shown in the Comptroller's accounts as Rs.9,815, has to be reduced by about Rs. 1,600 by crediting the collections on account of sandal and toddy towards the loan. This and other matters referred to in the officiating Deputy Commissioner's letter will be separately examined.

ks. T. Chandu Menon
15 Nov 49

ecord of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

598
15.11.09

Grant of a loan of Rs8,000 to Venkat Rao and other members of the late Kille Cutcherry Bakshi Thippiah's family, uhder the rules regarding the grant of loans for the relief of encumbered Inamdars.

316.

581
17.11.09

Order.

Sanctioned
KRW
16/11/09

Secy
17/Nov

18/11/09

To Fin. Branch.
18/11/09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sec Fin File No. 37 of 1909

Serial No.	
19	To The Deputy Comr, Mysore Dist " Comptroller No: H 2485-6 Dated 19.11.09 Sanctions a further loan of Rs 8000 to Mr. Venkata Rao and others at 5% interest subject to the Condition that the income of the mortgaged property present and prospective including the revenue from toddy &c should be taken towards the repayment of the aggregate loan with interest, & requests the Comptroller to authorize the payment of the amount at once & the D C Mysore to have the necessary bond executed by the Proprietors & to see that the amount is paid in time direct to the liquidators of the Mysore City Bank.
20	To Mr. Venkata Rao & others No: H 2487 D 19.11.09 Intimates Sanction of the grant of the loan.
	To D C Comr, Mysore Dist No: H 2509 D 20.11.09 Forwards Copy of Sl 20.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No. 21

From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dr- no 400 d 24/11/1909.

Forwards a draft ~~of a~~ deed of Mortgage re the further loan of Rs 8000/- sanctioned to the Third branch of Thippaiah's family.

5 The Dr Comr Mysore Suggests that the deed may be forwarded to the Govt Advocate and on its return from him it may be forwarded for "getting the document executed by the parties" and the payment of the amount to the Mysore City Bank before the due date i.e. 15th Dec 09.

Rcbd -

Asst Secretary's note 9/12/09 has not been seen by H Secretary & Minister got back to record satisfied himself under Original No 2083 - Praveen -

21/12/09

22/12/09 No H 28 d 14-12-09 Encl 4 files

22/12 56 Forwarded to the Govt Advocate for perusal & stating if the draft agreement is in order -

for H Secy

M. Venkatarama Iyer

3-12-9

U.O. 26. 6-12-09

5 The draft may be adopted with the additions noted by me on it, in pencil. They consist of two clauses. One makes the debt repayable

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Repayable on demand, in case the income of the mortgaged property falls short of the interest payable or for any other reason the Govt may deem fit to recall the debt. The other clause guarantees the title of the mortgagor to the mortgaged property.

5.12.09.

S. Narayana Rao
Govt Advocate

Subd.
mk 7/12.

58 Draft approving of the draft agreement with the alterations suggested by the Govt Advocate.

mk 9-12-9

Draft- Subd.
27/11/09.

mk 7/12. 59 Done and then submit the file to Tahsildar for orders mk 9-12-9
on Somasekhara Rao's prayer.

petition dt 19/11/09.
Rs. Rs. 18. in stamps.

22 To the Deputy Commissioner Kurnool
Go. No. 72756-7 dt 9/12/09. — Approves the draft-agreement with the alterations suggested by Govt Advocate

Subd. M 15/12 Please see in the dt 9-11-09 Supra. Pages 35 to 38.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

(This has been received separately (vide. 88 141/1/(8822). Same File.

Inperson
no Stamp
Encls nil
Answ 7/8/09.

5644

No. 636

To

T. Ananda Rao Esquire, B.A.,

D e w a n o f M y s o r e .

B A N G A L O R E.

The humble petition of the undersigned, your memorialists

Most respectfully showeth,

That in laying their case before your Excellency they have no wish to be troublesome, or force themselves on your Excellency's attentionas disatisfied applicants for impossible favours and they have every hope that in stating their case briefly and succinctly for your Excellency's information, no trouble will be found in dealing with it justly and satisfactorily.

2. That for distinguished services rendered to the State a jahaghir consisting of the marginally noted villages and lands was granted to the late Kille Cutcherry and Amrit-Mahal Bakshi Thippiah.

Tirunelli in Hunsur Taluk
Hodayanur
Kargallu in Arkalgude Taluk
Bommenahalli
Hosahalli in Chamaraj nagar Taluk
Karanji Garden in My-
Bellavetta Garden sore Taluk
and minor Inams

3. That these properties were then so heavily encumbered with debt, that they would have been lost to the family if the Government had not come to the rescue. The benevolent Government with the intention of saving the familyfrom being ruined by the loss of the jahaghir so generously granted by His

was then in progress(vide Endorsement No. 5893/R.F. 165-93 dated 25th December 1896).

8. That after the grant of the loan and the discharge of debts due to the creditors the properties were taken under the management of Government as per agreement come to between Inamdars and Government . Although the properties came into the possession and the management of the Government from the date of the document your memorialists are not favoured with any accounts whatever of their villages and are kept in ignorance of the condition of the villages in spite of several repeated requests made by them to the Deputy Commissioners .

9. That in this connection that your memorialists humbly beg to state that the most important village Tirunelli in the Hunsur Taluk, though ordered by successive Deputy Commissioners to be taken under Government management, the order has not as yet been given effect to, but the Hosahalli village in the Chamarajnagar Taluk had been clearly stated-for particular reasons-to be left in the management of the Inamdars themselves (Vide Government Proceedings No. 317-21 dated 6th June 1893) was taken under the Government management thus leading to entire mismanagement.

10. That the members of the oppressed family , however, in June 1901 renewed the application for the further loan already promised by Government in their endorsements referred to above. But the petition does not seem to have had any effect.

11. That the creditor- the Liquidator, of the Mahrata bank- filed a suit and got a decree in his favour and finally has brought all the properties which are now under Govem ment management, to sale which is fixed for the 18th August 1909.The sum claimed is Rs. 13000/- .This heavy amount is due to the accumulation of ruinous rate of interest on a small principal of Rs. 4200/-) which was the debt left undischarged at the time of the grant of the loan on a promise by Govern-

ment

ment for its liquidation by them in due course,. Thus it may clearly be seen that the debt was not contracted by your memorialists for any ~~other~~ purpose other than to help the Government in freeing the then existing lien on the properties mortgaged to Government. ^ which have come to the petitioner's share which have been mortgaged for the original loan of Rs 12782.

12. That the present income from the villages are as follows:-

Villages	Former net revenue	Present net revenueafter Surveyand Settlement	Increaseby Surveyand Settlement
a.Tirunelli a third share	380/-	500/- 430	120/-
b.Hosahalli half share	125/-	325/- 311	200/-
c. Hodayanur	270/-	600/- 575	330/-
Total	775/-	1425/- 1316 75	650/-

As the income of the villages having been by the introduction of the Survey and Settlement increased by Rs. 650/- per annum it will form ample security for granting the further loan already promised by Government.

13. That your memorialists most respectfully showeth that if the benign Government were pleased to grant the promised further loan soon after the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into the villages there would have been no occasion for the villages being advertised for public auction by the creditor, thus making your humble petitioners very low in the estimation of the public.

14. That on a reference made by the District Judge of Mysore the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore has stated that the loan account of this family is in a hopeless state of confusion and that endevours are being made to fix correct balances and that the balances intimated by the Comptroller are being adopted for purposes of calculation and that these balances are subject to further scrutiny and revision

The

The humble petitioners are at a loss to know how the accounts fell into a chaotic state and how the villages were reduced to such deplorable condition even though they are being managed by Government.

15. That your memorialists who belong to the late Anche Bakshi Thippiah's family, which rendered meritorious and everlastingservices to the Statefrom A.D. 1629 to 1834 and during the rule of Hyder Ali and Tippu Sultan and obtained therefor the jahaghir now under the management of Government, beg to state that the loan of Rs 30,000/- then sanctioned was not fully paid up. A loan of Rs 21,000/- was only given and the balance of Rs9,000/- left unpaid.

16. That the revenues of these villages have recently increased enormously as shown above forming adequate security for the grant of a further loan. The previous loan account even adopting Comptroller's figure shows a balance of Rs 10,352/-(exclusive of Sandal and Excise revenues from the time of the grant of the loan by Government)and this is also subject to further revision and may still be reduced.

17. That your humble memorialists therefore crave for the protection of the benevolent Government by the grant of a loan of Rs9,000/-on the security of the same properties and relieve them from distress.

18. That if your memorialists are left to themselves and if the benign Government are not pleased to come to their resque as before their properties are sure to be lost thus frustrating the object of the grant of jahaghirs.

19. That in this connection your humble memorialists most respectfully beg to invite your Excellency's kind attention to the Government Notification No. 271 dated 15th November 1883(Old Revenue Manual 32-33), clearly indicating the attitude of Government towards the sale of Inam Village even for arrears of revenue which is the paramount charge.

20. That on the favourable orders of Government your humble memorialists will enter into compromise with the present creditors and discharge the entire debts and the necessary documents will be executed in favour of Government.

21. That your humble memorialists further respectfully beg that the District Judge of Mysore may kindly be moved to stop the sale proceedings until final orders of the benign Government in this matter are passed.

In conclusion that your humble memorialists are still in the cradle of your Excellency's mercy and earnestly pray that it will please the benign Providence to nigh unto them (day by day) your Excellency's kind attention and patronage and thereby enable them to emerge out from the dark misery in which they now are- and as in duty bound your memorialists will ever pray.

Dated 7th August 09.

T. Ramakrishniah
M. K. Krishna Rao,
1936 Krishnavilas, Mysore.
M. Subba Rao.

1909

No. 826

Name of Petitioner Venkat Rao
& others

Village, Mysore

Taluk, — do —

District, — do —

Date of Arzi, September

Date of Receipt, 21st — do —

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure,

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners a
the members of
the late Samm
Rowo's family &
ask for an adv
loan of Rs 900
on the mortgage
of their Jaghir
Villages -

RR
21. 9. 09.

T. Ananda Rao Esquire B.A.

Dewan of Mysore

M y s o r e.

The humble Memorial of Venkata Rao and other members of the family of Late Sannu Rao decendants from the AmritMahal Kille Cutcherry and Anche Bakshi Thippiah of Mysore

Most respectfully showeth,

That in Government Proceedings Camp No. 317-21 dated Mysore 6th June 1893 the Government were pleased on the application of Sannu Rao and other members of the family to authorise an advance up to a limit of Rs. 30,000/- for the redemption o f the encumbrances on the Jahagir villages and lands originally granted to Bakshi Thippiah by the late His Highness Krishnaraj Wodiar Bahadur 111 for distinguished services rendered to the State.

2. Again on the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore the Government were pleased to sanction as a special case , a loan equal to 15times the net revenue of the properties to be mortgaged to Government instead of a loan equal to 12times the net revenue of the properties as sanctioned in Proceedings above quoted. (Govt.Pro% No. 18025-27/R.F. 165-93 dated Bangalore 23rd April 1894).

3. In august 1896. Sannu Rao applied for the grant of a loan in excess of what had already been sanctioned by the Government and with reference to this the Government were pleased to inform him in their order No. 1846/R.F. 165-93 dated 18th August 1896., that his prayer would be considered when the Survey and Settlement then in progress was introduced into the Inam Villages. This order was further supplimemted by another order No. 5893/R.F. 165-93 dated 25th December 1896, in which Sannu Rao was informed that he may

may renew his application for further loan after the completion of the Survey Settlement .

4. Although the Government authorised the grant of a loan to the extent of Rs. 30,000/- the amount actually borrowed by the members of the familyaggregated only Rs. 21,000/- of which Rs. 12,782/- came to the share of the direct descendants of Sannu Rao namely the present petitioners.

5. Against this Rs.12,782 /-the encumbrances on the villages , then existing , amounted to Rs. 17,246-7-0and in the hope that the prayer for additional loan would receive favourable consideration at the hands of Government after the introduction of the Survey Settlement, SannuRao borrowed another sum of Rs. 4200/-on the mortgage of the same properties , redeemed the original mortgage of Rs. 17,246-7-0 and handed over to Government the mortgaged properties free from other encumbrances.

6. Unfortunately Sannu Rao was not able owing to his limited means and large family that depended on him to discharge the whole or even a portion of this subsequent liability of Rs. 4200/- during his life time and the consequence has been that with interest at 24% per annum which he agreed to pay , the total liability has just now run up to high figure of Rs. 13,000/-and odd.

7. The creditors have taken the extreme step of going to the courts for the recovery of this large amount against the petitioners, have obtained decrees and brought the properties to sale notwithstanding that they continue mortgaged to Government and remain in their possession.

8. The Srvey Settlement was introducedinto all the jahagir villages some 8 years back with the result that the income has risen by about Rs. 650 per annum. Moreover the Excise Revenue and the Sandal Revenue payable to the petitioners also remainunadjusted for years.

9. As the loan of Rs. 4200/- referred to in para 5 above was raised mainly to redeem the then existing mortgage and the villages are likely to pass out of petitioners

petitioner's hands if the debt is not fully discharged , the petitioners have taken the liberty of approaching Government in pursuance of their orders No. 5893/R.F. 165-93 dated 25th December 1896 under which Government had informed Sannu Rao to renew his application after the introduction of the Survey Settlement.

10. That Your memorialists beg to represent that only Rs. 21,000/- were utilised out of the Rs. 30,000/- sanctioned in June 1893 and they now pray that in view of the very unfortunate circumstances of their case , they may be given the remaining 9,000/- Rs. wherewith they hope to be able to arrive at some satisfactory arrangement with the creditors.

11. Moreover the introduction of the Survey Settlement has brought an increased income of Rs. 650/- per annum and if the original income of these properties namely Rs. 775/ per annum be added, the total annual income of the properties come to Rs. 1425/- per annum, 15 times of which comes to Rs. 21,375/- within or very nearly equal to the loan now asked for(Rs. 12,782 already taken plus Rs. 9,000/-now applied). This, petitioners beg to represent ,is within the limit already sanctioned in their Proceedings No. 18025-27/R.F. 165-93 dated 23rd April 1894 above referred to in para 2.

12. Petitioners are now in very straitened circumstances and if Government help is not graciously accorded to them, the whole of the Jahagir properties bestowed by the Sovereign will be lost. Your memorialists are therefore compelled to approach your Excellency in continuation of their previous petition and very respectfully beg Your Excellency will be so good as to peruse the papers connected with the loan and sanction the additional loan of Rs. 9,000/- for which there is ample security as above explained.

13. Petitioners further beg that pending the final

disposal

disposal of this application for additional loan the District Judge of Mysore may be kindly moved to stop sale proceedings.

This act of kindness , it is needless to say , will be long remembered and cherished by all the members of this family.

M. K. Krishna Rao,
1936 Krishnavilas, Mysore.

Mysore

September 1909

M. Srinivasa Rao

K. Subba Rao

Without Prejudice

Annx. 47.

Urgent (7)

No 781747

To 8224-09

The District Judge

Mysore -

Sir

I am directed to bring to your notice that Krishna Row and other petitioners in Execution Case No 9 of 1908. 09 on the file of your Court have presented a petition to the Dewan on the 21st Septr presenting, among other matters, that the Jaghir Villages have been brought to sale in satisfaction of a debt

II

Signature
CSO
22/9/22/9

Cal
22.9

raised on the mortgage
of the Villages in
the ~~Mercantile~~ City Bank,
Mysore - and have
at the same time
applied to Govt. for
a loan of Rs 9000
to meet this liability -

I am to request
you to be so good
as to favor me
with information
on the following
points: —

1) Has any
Sale of the pro-
perties been held
by the Court, &
if so what is
the amount fetched
at the sale?

and

2) what is
~~the~~ time granted
by ~~the~~ Court
for ~~the~~ confir-
~~mation~~ of ~~the~~
sale?

3 A copy of
~~the~~ sale pro-
-clamation is-
-sued by ~~the~~ Court
may also be kindly
forwarded for re-
-ference-

I have the

CSB
2/4/9
Secretary

F.L. 37-4. Vol 8

(8)

189

5 1416 18.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
CIVIL BRANCH,
SEP 1
BANGALORE.
MYSORE

From

V. Paramasiva Iyer Esquire,

To

J. S. Chakravarti, Esquire
M.A., F.R.A.S.,

Dated 27th Sept. 09. Camp Hassan
Despatched

Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

Received

~~General~~ Camp No. 9.
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your No: F.L. 1747 dated the 22nd instant I have the honour to state that, of the 9 items of properties mortgaged to the Mysore City Bank a house in Mysore was sold for Rs 1000/- on the 24th Ultimo and 30th November next has been fixed for confirmation of the sale. The other 8 items of property including 3 Jahagir Villages have been ordered to be sold on the 30th November

respect of the three bidders at Annexure A to the petition for execution of the sale, have been rejected.

Against No 12130-13-4 and further it is stated are due under the lease in respect of the properties have been attached and a warrant for the arrest in case held with the bid of Rs 22350 but cancelled by me on the ground of the bid, the highest bid offered for the sale of properties hypothecated to Government is stated to -mated at Rs 22350 [illegible] Rs 10352-15-8 as per the amount due to Rs 1400/- and 10352-15-8 as per the

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient

servant

District Judge

5- drafts for approval

C.R.S. 26]

13

File No.

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. H 1988

9 October 09

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

To The Dy Commr.

Mysore

Date of issue 9 October 09

Signed by Mr Mackenzie

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith petition of T. D. Oct 21-9-09 from Venkata Ram & others of third branch of Thippiahi family praying for the grant of a further loan of Rs 9000 and to request you to furnish ~~information~~ a detailed report on subject of the petition noting definite information on the following points —

(1) What is the amount of the original loan still

over.

SUBJECT.

Ord/Sno

due by this branch of the family?

(2) What is the ~~total~~ interest, if any, over due?

(3) What is the total debt for the discharge of which the property is alleged to have been attached

(4) Are there any other debts due by the petitioners for which their property is likely to be made liable?

(5) Have all the Creditors amenable to an arrangement for composition

(6) Can the claims of all the Creditors be met with a sum of Rs 9000 or any less sum?

(7) What is the annual demand on account of all the items of revenue payable by the petitioners

List of enclosures

(8) What is the actual gross revenue collected of the Share of the three Villages — Tirivan Hodegunna & Hoodahalli — mortgaged as security for Government debt, for each year since the introduction of the Survey & Settlement into the Said Villages

Explained by

What is the authorised by Government net thereupon, and the net amount

MGOPB—33,000—16-2-03

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

adjusted towards the instalments of interest on the loan?

For furnishing information on some of these above points it is necessary for you to consult the Comptroller, the Director of Museums, the Postmasters & their Overseers and I am to add that you may place yourself in communication them & submit the report called for with the least delay practicable.

The return of the papers is requested.

I have &c

MGCPB—30,000—5-1-05.

at the close of June 1909 as per latest accounts rendered to the Comptroller and accepted by him in his docket No: 1837/L.392 dated 6th instant is Rs 9815-4-3.

3. In further reduction of this balance the Inamdar points out an item of Rs 701-8-1 on account of Sandal Revenue of his Inam village under Government management in the Hassan District. This he contends, has not been credited to his loan. In support of his contention he produces a certificate from the Honore District Treasury Officer to the effect that the amount has been held under a deposit head known as Receipts

Receipts of Private Estates under Government Management." This is in connection with a remittance received from the Hassan District and the adjustment of such remittances is made in the Comptroller's office. If the same is, in communication with the Comptroller found to have been left out of account in the Comptroller's office, I shall take steps for the reduction of the loan accordingly. This can be done only after the District Treasury opens and the amount may now be left out of calculation.

4. The Inamdar's statements that a higher amount of loan than was actually granted to them under the terms of the bond is known

shown in the accounts is not borne out by facts and figures and I am not therefore discussing that point here.

5- As regards the petitioner's liability it is ascertained that the liquidators of the Mysore City Bank Mysore, who have obtained a decree for the sum of Rs 14100/- against all the properties of the family have expressed their agreement to receive a sum of Rs 9000 in total discharge of their debts against the petitioners provided the amount is paid within the 25th of November as may be seen from the Bank's Endorsement filed by the petitioners.

6 Against this amount there a deposit of Rs 1000/- in the District Court

Court (Vide letter from the Sheristedar of the Court), and if the petitioners are made to pay this amount in adjustment of the liability, the sum that Government will now have to advance to redeem the properties from Sale would be only Rs 8000/-

7 We have therefore to consider whether the mortgaged properties are liable to bear the additional loan.

8 It will be seen from the Statement prepared in this office that a net revenue of Rs 1200/- can safely be expected from the villages, and as the Dewan has stated semi-officially that a loan

up

up to fifteen times the net revenue may be granted to this family. I consider that a loan of Rs 8000/- which is within this limit may be sanctione

1200 x 15 = 18,000

Total loan as now proposed 17815-43

9 The Government have a lien on certain garden lands below the Karaiji land belonging to the Inamdars. Although these are enfranchised Inam lands, the Inamdars has alienated them by a previous mortgage with possession for a loan of Rs 1400/- The Inamdars now request that an additional loan of Rs 1500/- may be granted. In order that the orginal mortgage

mortgage may be redeemed and
they might enjoy the produce
of the gardens while Government
will have a lien on the property
without any encumbrance. As
the present assignee of the original
mortgagee is in possession and
has not put the Inamdar in
Court and as there is no urgency
about the matter I am not
prepared to make a recommendation
for this additional loan without
further investigation. The present
assignee, I have ascertained, is
unwilling to come to terms
with us. It has to be ascertained
how these unenfranchised Inam
lands were allowed to be alienated
and how this office and Government
allowed

allowed this irregular procedure when the loan was originally granted to the family.

10 In my view the figures that have now been given in the accompanying statement should be tested by actual realization and we may then decide whether these garden lands should be resumed and restored to the family or resumed. Within a short period the amount that has to be paid to the family for Toddy revenue and their annual income from Toddy revenue can also be ascertained. It will be ascertained in a few days whether the family is entitled to the credit of Rs 701-8-1 mentioned in para 1 and to any

any credit for paddal revenue. From an office note it is found that the arrears payable to this branch are about Rs 900/- and the annual income from Toddy revenue will be about Rs 75/-.

After these figures are verified and become creditable to the family, Government may be able to advance an additional loan for the purpose of redeeming the mortgaged lands.

12 Answers to the queries contained in Government Order no. H. 1995 / Gl. Ku. 3)-09 dated 9th instant are also enclosed.

13 The 2 petitions received with

with your letter under reply
are herewith submitted

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

S Srikantaiah
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Memo of replies to the
Several queries raised in the
Financial Secretary's letter no.
H. 1985 dated 9th instant.
Gl. Fin 37-09

The balance of loan
due by this 3rd branch of Zpliab
family was Rs 9815-4-3 up
to the end of June 1909.

2 Nil

3. The total debt for
the Discharge of which the
properties are alleged to have
been attached is said to be
Rs 13000/- and odd, as the affidavit
filed

filed by the petitioners, Rs 13130-13-4
as per latest Sale Proclamation
issued by the District Court.

4. There is said to be only
one debtor who is an assignee
of the Mortgage of the unenfranchised
Lucum lands below the Karaiya
tank belonging to the family,
who is not prepared to receive
anything short of Rs 1400/- in
discharge of his debt. The matter
is yet to be investigated.

5. With the exception of
the one above, the others who
have obtained a decree against
all the properties have agreed
to accept Rs 9000/- in total

liquidation

liquidation of their debts provided the amount is paid within the 25th November 1909

6 No Amani of Rupees One thousand & four hundred more or required

7 & 8. The Statement showing the details required in these 2 paragraphs, in so far as they can be gathered in the office and in communication with the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan District and the Amildar of the Hunsur Taluk, is appended to the report

9. The petitions received with the letter under reply are submitted.

S. Subbaraya Iyer
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

1909-1910

From K. Chandy Esq B.A
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To T.S. Chakravarti Esq M.A
F.R.A.S.E.C
Financial Secretary
Government of Mysore

Dated
Despatched 2 } November 1909
Received

General No. 297
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Recommending the grant of an additional loan of Rs 8000/- to the 3rd branch of Thippiah's family

No. 297
Enc. No. 1/5

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner.

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 31st October 1909.
1st November

To

J.S. Chakravarti Esq., M.A.,F.R.A.S.,Etc.,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

~~CHIEF SECRETARY'S DEPARTMENT.~~

Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 71.1995 71.Fin. 3-109 dated 9th instant requesting a report upon certain points in connection with an additional loan applied for by the members of the 3rd branch of Tippiah's family, I have the honor to to state as follows:-

2. The balance of loan outstanding against this branch at the close of June 1909 as per latest accounts rendered to the Comptroller and accepted by him in his docket No. 1877/L.392 dated 6th instant is ₹.9815-4-3.

3. In further reduction of this balance, the Inamdar points out an item of ₹.701-8-1 on account of Sandal Revenue of his Inam village under Government management in the Hassan District. This, he contends, has not been credited to his loan. In support of his contention he produces a certificate from the Mysore District Treasury Officer to the effect that the amount has been held under a deposit head known as receipts of private estates under Government management. This is in connection with a remittance received from the Hasan District and the adjustment of such remittances is made in the Comptroller's Office, I shall take steps for the reduction of the loan accordingly. This can be done only after the District Treasury

of the same is communicated to the Comptroller's Office

by a previous mortgagee with possession for a loan of Rs.1400. The Inamdars now request that an additional loan of ₹s.1,500 may be granted in order that the orignal mortgage may be redeemed and they might enjoy the produce of the gardens while Government will have a lien on the property without any encumbrance. As the present assignee of the original mortgagee is in possession and has not put the Inamdar in Court and as there is no urgency about the matter I am not prepared to make a recommendation for this additional loan without further investigation. The present assignee, I have ascertained, is unwilling to come to terms with us. It has to be ascertained how these unenfranchised Inam lands were allowed to be alienated and how this office and Government allowed this irregular procedure ~~ceeding~~ when the loan was originally granted to the family.

In my view the figures that have now been given in the ~~with~~ accompanying statement should be tested by actual realization and we may then decide whether these garden lands should be redeemed and restored to the family or resumed. Within a short period the amount that has to be paid to the family for toddy revenue and their annual income from Toddy Revenue can also be ascertained. It will be ascertained in a few days whether the family is entitled to the credit of ₹s.701-8-1 mentioned in para 1 and to any credit for sandal revenue. From an office note it is found that the arrears of toddy revenue payable to this branch are about ₹s.900 and the annual income from toddy revenue will be about ₹s.75.

After these figures are verified, and become creditable to the family, Government may be able to advance an additional loan for the purpose of redeeming the unenfranchised lands.

Answers to the queries contained in the Government letter No.F11985 dated 9.10.1909 are also enclosed

G1. Fin. 37-09.

A Statement Showing the gross and nett Revenue of the Inam Villages of the 3rd branch of Tippiahs family mortgaged to Government for the Loan granted by Government

No	Name of the Village	Annual Revenue in rupees	Remarks	Expenditure	Balance	Share possessed by the family	
1	Padnakodu Hoa-hally	727	average of 4 years	105	622	311	728, 729, 727, 726 2910 727
2	Hodeyanur	640	as per Survey assessment Vide Hassan District Dy: com's docket No 521 dated 14/10/09	65	575	575	Collection for 1907-1908 Rs 665-12-7.
3	Tirunelli	1441	As per Hunsur Taluk Amildar's report No. A. dated 25/10/09	150 Calculated according to Potofi Rules	1291	430	
					Total	1316.	

Deduct Rs 75 an account of divine Service to be held annually in certain temples as per terms of the bond. Total Nett Revenue 1241. Exclusive of Toddy Revenue of these properties.

Copy"

Signed K Cha...
Asst/Deputy Commissioner
Hassan

File No. 24/11

G.R.S. 28]

190

File No. 37-09
Serial No. 191

Diary No. of letter replied to 1807

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(GL Sec)

READ— Petition received no. 644 dtd 7-8-09, from Venkata Rao and other members of the third branch of the late Kille Cutcherry Bakshi Thiphicalis family praying for the grant of a further loan of Rs. 9,000 under the rules regarding the grant of loans for the relief of encumbered Inamdars.

, the Paper under disposal no: 2. 190

Date of issue

Signed by

ORDER PASSED. No.

over

SUBJECT

GPB—6,000—13-5-08

2) Correspondence, ending with the Despatch of Govt's letter No: 297 of the 2nd Nov^r 1909 on the subject:-

order thereon:— No 7634 85-6 dy 19-11-09

In distinguished Services rendered to the State, a Jahagir. consisting of 4 whole Inam villages & certain Inam lands was originally granted to the late Bakshi Shiffiah. As these properties were heavily encumbered with debts and were in danger of passing out of the family, a loan equal to 12 times the annual net income of the properties

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

M.
(linked file)

repayable with interest at 5% per annum was granted, in G.O. No. Camb. 317-21 & the 6th June 1893, to the three branches of the family to enable them to redeem the properties from existing encumbrances and the Govt., I suppose it, was authorized to advance up to a limit of Rs. 30,000, the net annual income being estimated at Rs. 2,500. It was however, found later on that this amount was insufficient to meet all the existing liabilities and the fact in their order No. 1802 S-27/R. J. 165-93 & the 23.4.1894 sanctioned as a special case a loan equal to 15 times the net income of the properties instead of 12 times as originally sanctioned to Sarma Rao & others of the second & third branches of the family.

G
(linked file)

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

of which the entire properties mortgaged to Govt: have been brought to Sale. They therefore pray that a further loan of Rs: 9000 may be granted to them for discharging this liability. They add that, by the Survey Settlement, the original revenue of the village viz. Rs: 775 has been increased by Rs: 650, and that the loan now asked for will, with the amount of loan of Rs. 12,782 already obtained from Govt:, bring the total within 15 times the annual income of the properties.

The office bearers of the Company to whom the petition was forwarded for report, states that the liquidators of the Company City Bank, who have obtained a decree for Rs. 14,000/-

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

to discharge the total debt of about Rs. 18,000 by annual instalments of Rs. 1200 with interest at 5% ~~p.a.~~ reserving to themselves the right of applying all additional income such as toddy revenue &c towards the repayment of the loan, in order to reduce the period for the liquidation of the loan.

(This period is too long and with a view to shorten it yields by the mortgaged properties, will be applied)

and this will be regarded as an essential condition of the further loan now sanctioned.

The Comptroller is requested to authorize the payment of the amount at once & the D.C. Bowl to see that the amount is actually paid in trust to Direct to the liquidators of the Mysore Bank and cause them to give satisfaction ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~Court~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~encumbrance~~ ~~is~~ ~~fully~~ ~~discharged~~ in the Court of the Judge of the District where the execution proceedings are pending.

Have the necessary bond executed by the petitioners and also

Fin: Secy to Govt:

Submitted above, Imperial
19-11-09 the Comptroller
R.S. 19.11.09. 19/11

Fle 2nd 24/11

SL(20) 54

G.R.S. 34]

No. Fl.2487

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

Draft

Endorsement.

Office Memorandum.

To

Dated Bangalore the 19- 11- 1909.

With reference to their petition dated the 7th August 1909 praying for the grant of a further loan of Rs.8,000, Mr. Venkat Rao and other members of the third branch of Bakshi Thippiah's family are informed that Government have sanctioned an additional loan of Rs. 8,000 which, with the sum of Rs.1000 to their credit, and in deposit in the District Judge's Court Mysore, will suffice for meeting the claim of the Liquidators of the Mysore City Bank. The loan is subject to the condition that the income of the mortgaged property present and prospective including the revenue from toddy etc should be taken towards the repayment of the aggregate loan with interest.

By order,

19-11

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

W.H.2509 of 20-11-09

Dlr Dy Comr, Nagar

MGCPB—6,000—16-9-04

K.S. 19/11

The deed may be kindly forwarded to the Government advocate for opinion and when received back may be returned to me as early as possible in view to arrange for getting the document executed by the parties and for the payment of the amount to the Bank before the above date.

I have the honor ble
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Copy of Deed sent to Sub-Judge, Mysore L.J.
Reference to his Summons of 6.1.31 in O.S. No.
86 - 28 -

20/2/31

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 37-09
Serial No. 22

Diary No. of letter replied to 2083.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(97.)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 9-12-09.

Signed by

READ—

Recd letter No 400 dt 24/11/09 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt submitting a draft deed of mortgage to be executed by Venkatappa and others of Hunsur for the loan of Rs 8000 sanctioned by the third Branch of the late Bakshi Thiffaiah family for the further loan of Rs 8000 sanctioned by them in G.O No. H 2485-68-19-11-09.

No H 2756-7 dt 9 Dec/09

The draft agreement is approved with the alteration suggested by the Govt Advocate and a copy of the approved draft as amended is herewith forwarded to the Dy Comr Mysore for getting over

SUBJECT

GPB—6,000—13-5-08

Urgent

1909 — 10

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To J. S. Chakravarti Esq M.A. B.L.
Financial Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Dated 24th
Despatched 25th } November 1909

Received

General No. 400
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting a draft deed of mortgage re the further loan of Rs 8000/- sanctioned to the Third Branch of Lingaraja's family.

No. 403
Enc. No. 1

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Myre Camp 24th November 1909.

To

J. S. Chakravarti Esq., M.A. & B.L.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
~~GENERAL AND REVENUE~~ Financial DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. Fl 2485-6 Gl 7 in 37-09 of the 19th instant sanctioning a further loan of Rs 8000/- in favour Sanmukas and other members of the 3rd Granee of Sujjala family, I have the honor to state that the amount in question should have been paid to the liquidation of the Mysore City Bank on the 25th instant, inasmuch as I returned it before me yesterday and I moved to grant some more time to the debtors to enable them to encumber the necessary bond to Government.

As the amount is likely to be paid before the 15th proximo, I have herewith submitted a draft deed of mortgage for the approval of Government. The